

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/072,931	02/12/2002		Shunpei Yamazaki	740756-2433	3751	
31780	7590	04/23/2004		EXAMINER		
ERIC ROB	ERIC ROBINSON				ISAAC, STANETTA D	
PMB 955 21010 SOUT	THBANK S	XT.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
POTOMAC		. = -		2812		

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			i
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
0.00	10/072,931	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stanetta D. Isaac	2812	
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet	with the corresp ndence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum serious Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may munication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No ly will, by statute, cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	n.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on <u>22 September 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
		atters, prosecution as to the merits is	3
closed in accordance with the pract	tice under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-80</u> is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-46,48-5</u>	···	are withdrawn from consideration	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	10.00	are warden from consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>47,51,53,55,59 and 63</u> is/a	are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 February</u>		objected to by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any obje			
		ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	1
11) The oath or declaration is objected t).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 25 LLS C	£ 110(a) (d) ar (f)	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	To Toreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	3 113(a)-(u) or (1).	
,— <u> </u>	documents have been received.		
		Application No.	
	documents have been received in of the priority documents have been		
	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action		at received	
dec ind diagnos detailed office pelic	or for a list of the certified copies fit	received.	
A440.a.h.m.o47.a.\			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	∧ □	O (DTO . 112)	
 Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F 	4) 🔲 Interview PTO-948) Paper No	y Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or	PTO/SB/08) 5) D Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	 ·	
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Offic Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 040	4

Application/Control Number: 10/072,931 Page 2

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group IV claims (47, 51,53, 55, 59, and 63) filed on 03/24/04 is acknowledged.

2. Claims withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse. *Examiner note's* that new added claims 78-80, however, are in addition withdrawn from consideration. This restriction is deemed proper and is **made final**.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 47, 51, 53, 59, and 63 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the

Art Unit: 2812

reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 47, 51, 53, 55, 59 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamazaki et al. US Patent 6,048,758

The applied reference has a common inventor and assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Yamazaki teaches the invention as claimed. See FIGS. 1A-11, where Yamazaki teaches a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising: forming a first semiconductor film having an amorphous structure over a substrate; providing the first semiconductor film with a material for promoting crystallization; heating the first semiconductor film for crystallizing; irradiating the first semiconductor film with a laser light for improving crystallinity; forming a barrier layer over the first semiconductor film having crystalline structure; forming a second semiconductor film over the barrier layer; adding an inert gas element to an upper layer of the second semiconductor film; gettering the material for promoting crystallization into the upper layer of the second semiconductor film.

6. Pertaining to claim 53, Yamazaki teaches a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device according to claim 47, wherein the barrier layer is formed by oxidizing a surface of the first semiconductor film by irradiating ultraviolet light.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,931

Art Unit: 2812

7. Pertaining to claim 55, Yamazaki teaches a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device according to claim 47, wherein the inert gas element is at least an element selected from the group consisting of He, Ne, Ar, Kr and Xe.

Page 4

- 8. Pertaining to claim 59, Yamazaki teaches a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device according to claim 47, wherein the second semiconductor film comprises the inert gas element at a concentration of 1×10^{19} to 1×10^{22} /cm³.
- 9. Pertaining to claim 63, Yamazaki teaches a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device according to claim 47, wherein the semiconductor device is applied to an electronic apparatus selected from the group consisting of a personal computer, a video camera, a mobile computer, a goggle type display, a DVD, a digital camera, a front type projector, a rear type projector, a mobile phone and an electronic book.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al. US Patent 6,048,758 in view of Matsuki et al. US Patent 5,960,252.
- 12. Yamazaki teaches the semiconductor method substantially as claimed, however, fails the fails a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device according to claim 47, wherein the barrier layer is formed by oxidizing a surface of the first semiconductor film by using a solution containing ozone. See (col. 2 lines 64-67; col. 4 lines 60-64; col. 5 lines 1-4), where Matsuki

Application/Control Number: 10/072,931

Page 5

Art Unit: 2812

teaches the above step. In view of Matsuki, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Matsuki into Yamazaki's semiconductor method because the TEOS-CVD process using ozone as an oxidizing agent enables film deposition at a lower temperature compared to the case using oxygen as an oxidizing agent and since Yamazaki teaches the use of

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stanetta D. Isaac whose telephone number is 571-272-1671. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30am -6:30pm.

a rare gas including TEOS gas in would be obvious to incorporate Matsuki's method.

- 14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on 571-272-1679. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stanetta Isaac Patent Examiner April 19, 2004

John F. Niebling / Supervisory Patent Examinar Technology Center 2800